



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/773,026	01/31/2001	Robert D. Thompson	10008006-1	5499		
7	7590 06/07/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			AUVE, GLENN ALLEN			
P.O. Box 2724	operty Administration 00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400		2111			
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/773,02	3	THOMPSON ET	AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Glenn A. A		2111	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no eve to reply within the statu riod will apply and will atute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u>0 March 2005</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ ∃	This action is no	n-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except t	or formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,20 and 22</u> is/are pendi	ing in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with				
5) Claim(s) <u>1-7,14,16,17,20 and 22</u> is/are allo				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election re	quirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is/		oted or h) objected	I to by the Examin	er
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor				FR 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ed in this National	Stage
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	` ''		
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ust of the certifi	ed copies not receive	eu.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	<u> </u>	rt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20050601

Application Number: 09/773,026

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant should note that the examiner in charge of this application has changed.
 Current contact information is included at the conclusion of this Office Action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10 March 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 8-10,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsai et al. [as applied previously].

As per claim 8, Tsai shows a method of providing identification information on a USB capable device, comprising: reading an index (the VIDS pin is read which is used as the index for the lookup); looking-up a product identification in electronically readable fixed medium based upon said index (PID); and reading a vendor identification from electronically readable fixed

medium, said vendor identification being stored separate from said product identification (VID, where while the VID and PID are both stored in the memory 122, they are stored separate from each other in that there are separate values for both the PID and the VID at least as illustrated in the example shown in col. 4). Tsai shows all of the limitations recited in claim 8.

3

As for claim 9, the argument for claim 8 applies. Tsai also shows that reading an index comprises reading the state of pins on an integrated circuit (cols. 3-4, where the input pins' values are read to determine the index value). Tsai shows all of the limitations recited in claim 9.

As for claim 10, the argument for claim 9 applies. Tsai also shows that said state of said pins are set by connections on a printed circuit board (cols. 3-4, where the input pins' values are read to determine the index value). Tsai shows all of the limitations recited in claim 10.

As for claim 12, the argument for claim 8 applies. Tsai also shows that said index set by connections internal to an integrated circuit also containing said electronically readable fixed medium (cols. 3-4, where the input pins' values are read to determine the index value). Tsai shows all of the limitations recited in claim 12.

As for claim 13, the argument for claim 8 applies. Tsai also shows said vendor identification comprises a vendor identification number and a vendor identification string (see at least the example in col.4). Tsai shows all of the limitations recited in claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application Number: 09/773,026

Art Unit: 2111

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai in view of Baldwin et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,042,265 [previously applied].

As for claim 11, the argument for claim 9 applies. Tsai does not specifically show that the state of the pins are set by jumper connections. However, Baldwin discloses the use of jumpers as an index into a table (note column 6, line 44 - column 9, line 31) to configure a system to operate in one of a plurality of modes/states. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of jumpers to index a table in order to configure the operation of a system, as Baldwin teaches, in the system of Tsai so as to allow for the flexible selection of one of a plurality of operation modes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims1-7,14,16,17,20, and 22 are allowed.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art does not appear to show the vendor identification information being stored separate from the lookup table as recited in combination with the other limitations in independent claims 1 and 14.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the

Art Unit: 2111

issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons

for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed 10 March 2005, with respect to the objection to

the abstract have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the abstract has

been withdrawn.

11. Applicant's arguments filed 10 March 2005 regarding claim 8 have been fully considered

but they are not persuasive. With respect to independent method claim 8, applicant argues that

Tsai does not show the vendor identification being stored separate from the product information.

However, as noted above in the rejection, the VID and PID are separate values stored as shown

at least in the example of col.4. The apparatus claims recite the limitation differently in that they

include a lookup table with the product ID information and the vendor ID information is stored

separately from the lookup table. There are no such limitations present in claim 8, and therefore

the Tsai reference still applies.

12. Applicant has not separately argued the dependent claims and only mentions their

relationship to the independent claims. The examiner notes that the grounds of rejection have

been shifted somewhat in that claims 9,10,12, and 13 are also included in the § 102 rejection.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The new reference to Rademacher shows USB enumeration which reads the vendor

and product IDs from the devices.

Application Number: 09/773,026

Art Unit: 2111

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn A. Auve whose telephone number is (571) 272-3623. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM-5:30 PM, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn A. Auve Primary Examiner Art Unit 2111

gaa 1 June 2005